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## Unsupervised learning

- Items do not have class associated with them
- If we have distance
- k-means clustering
- Hierarchical clustering
- etc.
- If we have graph structure
- Modularity maximization (nodes have more links towards other nodes in the modeule than elsewhere)
- Cut links which belong to the most minimal path (Girvan-Neumann)
- Any other graph partition method


## Distance $\leftrightarrow$ Graph

- Distance to graph
- Tresholding
- Similarity
- Weighted graph
- Graph to distance
- Graph distance
- Node similarity (zeleons of measures)

e.

f.



# Decision tree, random forest, hierarchical clustering 

Why?

- Decision tree
- Random forest
- Importance of parameters
- Unsupervised learning


## Decision tree

Is a Person Fit?


- Build a tree
- Nodes are yes-no questions
- Links are answers (yes/no)
- Leaves are classification statements


## Decision tree

| outlook | temp. | humidity | windy | play |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sunny | hot | high | false | no |
| sunny | hot | high | true | no |
| overcast | hot | high | false | yes |
| rainy | mild | high | false | yes |
| rainy | cool | normal | false | yes |
| rainy | cool | normal | true | no |
| overcast | cool | normal | true | yes |
| sunny | mild | high | false | no |
| sunny | cool | normal | false | yes |
| rainy | mild | normal | false | yes |
| sunny | mild | normal | true | yes |
| overcast | mild | high | true | yes |
| overcast | hot | normal | false | yes |
| rainy | mild | high | true | no |

- Which parameter to pick first?
- The one which classifies the data best
- What is best? $\rightarrow$ information gain or Gini index


## Information entropy

- Set of possible outcomes $C$
- Possible outcomes $c_{i} \in C$
- The number of experiments is $N$ and the respective events happend $n_{i}$ times $\sum_{i} n_{i}=N$
- The probability with which the above outcome may have happend $P \propto \frac{N!}{n_{1}!\cdots n_{k}!}$
- Probability of two independent events $P(1) P(2)$
- Entorpy for independent system is additive so let us use log and of course Stirling's formula for the factorial: $S \equiv \log (P) \simeq-\sum_{i} p_{i} \log \left(p_{i}\right)$, with $p_{i}=n_{i} / N$
- So for events with probability $p_{i}$ :

$$
H(s)=\sum_{i}-p_{i} \log _{2} p_{i}
$$

## Information entropy

- $H(s)=\sum_{c \in C}-p(c) \log _{2} p(c), C=\{$ yes, no $\}$
- For the full set:
- 9 out of 14 are yes:

$$
H(s)=-\frac{9}{14} \log _{2} \frac{9}{14}-\frac{5}{14} \log _{2} \frac{5}{14}=0.41+0.53=0.94
$$

- Information entropy for perfectly separated $H=0$, information entropy of perfectly mixed system $H=1$

| outlook | temp. | humidity | windy | play |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sunny | hot | high | false | no |
| sunny | hot | high | true | no |
| overcast | hot | high | false | yes |
| rainy | mild | high | false | yes |
| rainy | cool | normal | false | yes |
| rainy | cool | normal | true | no |
| overcast | cool | normal | true | yes |
| sunny | mild | high | false | no |
| sunny | cool | normal | false | yes |
| rainy | mild | normal | false | yes |
| sunny | mild | normal | true | yes |
| overcast | mild | high | true | yes |
| overcast | hot | normal | false | yes |
| rainy | mild | high | true | no |

## Information gain, for every feature:

Information entropy of the original minus the one of the divided

$\left.\begin{array}{l}E \text { (Outlook=sunny) }=-\frac{2}{5} \log \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)-\frac{3}{5} \log \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)=0.971 \\ E \text { (Outlook=overcast) }=-1 \log (1)-0 \log (0)=0 \\ E \text { (Outlook=rainy) }=-\frac{3}{5} \log \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)-\frac{2}{5} \log \left(\frac{2}{5}\right)=0.971\end{array}\right\} \quad \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{OutlOOK})$
Average Entropy information for Outlook
$I$ (Outlook) $\left.=\frac{5}{14} * 0.971+\frac{4}{14} * 0+\frac{5}{14} * 0.971=0.693\right\} \quad \sum_{t \in T} p(t) H(t)$
Gain (Outlook) $=\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{S})-\mathrm{I}$ (outlook) $=0.94-.693=0.247 \square$
$I G(A, S)=H(S)-\sum_{t \in T} p(t) H(t)$
$E($ Windy $=$ false $)=-\frac{6}{8} \log \left(\frac{6}{8}\right)-\frac{2}{8} \log \left(\frac{2}{8}\right)=0.811$
$E($ Windy=true $)=-\frac{3}{6} \log \left(\frac{3}{6}\right)-\frac{3}{6} \log \left(\frac{3}{6}\right)=1$
Average entropy information for Windy
$I($ Windy $)=\frac{8}{14} * 0.811+\frac{6}{14} * 1=0.892$
Gain $($ Windy $)=E(S)-I($ Windy $)=0.94-0.892=0.048$

## Information gain, for every feature, pick the highest:

| Outlook |  | Temperature |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Info: | 0.693 | Info: | 0.911 |
| Gain: 0.940-0.693 | 0.247 |  | 0.029 |
|  |  | Gain: 0.940-0.911 |  |
| Humidity |  | Windy |  |
| Info: | 0.788 | Info: | 0.892 |
| Gain: 0.940-0.788 | 0.152 | Gain: 0.940-0.892 | 0.048 |
|  |  |  |  |

- So root node is Outlook.


## Decision tree: First level



- So root node is Outlook.


## Decision tree: Next levels, same procedure



- Next question is about Humidity.


## Final decision tree

## Final decision tree



## Gini index

- Gini $=1-\sum_{c \in C} p(c)^{2}, C=\{$ yes, no $\}$
- For the full set:
- 9 out of 14 are yes:

$$
\text { Gini }=1-\left(\frac{9}{14}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{5}{14}\right)^{2}=0.46
$$

- For perfectly separated sample Gini index is zero.


## Gini index, for two groups

- Fraction weighted sum of respective Gini indices
- Example: | Class | A | A | A | A | A | B | B | B | B | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| v | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
- $v=1: \operatorname{Gini}(1)=1-(1 / 4)^{2}-(3 / 4)^{2}$
- $v=0: \operatorname{Gini}(0)=1-(4 / 6)^{2}-(2 / 6)^{2}$
- Combined Gini:

$$
\operatorname{Gini}=\frac{4}{10} \operatorname{Gini}(1)+\frac{6}{10} \operatorname{Gini}(0)
$$

## Decision tree

- Advantages
- Fast
- Easy to interpret
- Can be combined with other techniques
- Disadvantages
- Very unstable (small change in the data, enormous change in the tree)
- Very inaccurate
- Separation lines parallel to axes


## Unsupervised random forest: Illustration



500 rCARTs
From: Eric Debreuve / Team Morpheme University Nice Sophia Antipolis

## Random forest

- Bagging trees (Bootstrap Aggregating)
- Bagging: Average a given procedure over many samples to reduce the variance
- Draw bootstrap samples from the the original sample and to the training. Original dataset: $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{x} 1, \mathrm{x} 2, \ldots, \mathrm{x} 100)$ Bootstrap samples: boot1 $=\operatorname{sample}(x, 100$, replace $=$ True),
- Average the results
- Random forest
- When selecting the random sample fewer data is used
- Average the prediction of each tree
- Much more stable than decision tree (indeed the forest looks more impressive and stable than a single tree!)


## Random forest

- Data importance measure
- How much the accuracy decreases when the variable is excluded
- The decrease of Gini impurity when a variable is chosen to split a node



## Unsupervised learning

- Cluster methods: k-means, hierarchical clustering, etc.
- Principal component analysis
- Anomaly detection
- Teach a method to distinguish between the real and a synthetic data


## Random forest unsupervised

- How to make a decision tree without target?
- Create a synthetic data set
- Mark the original dataset with target 1 and the synthetic with target 0
- Use random forest to find dissimilarity between the random and the real data.
- After each decision tree is trained, fit the original dataset
- Points ending up in the same leaf are related.
- Aggregating this events creates a similarity matrix.
- Can use other methods to cut them into pieces

Unsupervised random forest similarity matrix


## Unsupervised random forest

- The algorithm results in a distance matrix
- Norms and distances in the mixed original data can be misleading



## Dimension reduction

- Images contain too much data compared to output, (e.g. VGG16, input $228 \times 228 \times 3=155952$, output 1000.)
- Methods to retrieve the relevant information
- Eigen decomposition
- Principal component analysis
- Autoencoder
- All fall in the unsupervised cathegory

Figures from Sebastian Raschka

## Principal component analysis

- PCA :Find directions of maximum variance
- Eigen decomposition: Consider data $N \times P$ as a matrix. Consider the eigen vectors with the largest absolute eigen values.



## PCA

- Transform data

- Keep relevant dimensions


PCA

- Keep relevant dimensions

- If you are lucky a few dimensions are enough to tell the categories apart.

(a) Visualization by t-SNE.

Shown are 6000 images from MNIST projected in 2D

## Autoencoder

- Make the machine learn the important components
- Make a bottleneck in the network.
- Teach the network the image itself



## Autoencoder

- Make the machine learn the important components
- Make a bottleneck in the network.
- Teach the network the image itself



## Autoencoder

- Transposed convolution
- Upscaling


## Regular Convolution:



Figure 2.1: (No padding, unit strides) Convolving a $3 \times 3$ kernel over a $4 \times 4$ input using unit strides (i.e., $i=4, k=3, s=1$ and $p=0$ ).

Transposed Convolution (emulated with direct convolution):
output
input


Dumoulin, Vincent, and Francesco Visin. "A guide to convolution arithmetic for deep learning." arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.07285 (2016).

## Use cases of Autoencoder

- Noise reduction: noise is a lot of information, since it has no correlation, most of it will be lost at the bottleneck.
- Missing part reconstruction





## Use cases of Autoencoder

- Noise reduction
- Missing part reconstruction
- Images in given style




## Use cases of Autoencoder

- Noise reduction
- Missing part reconstruction
- Images in given style https://arxiv.org/abs/1508.06576


