Quantum Computing Architectures

Budapest University of Technology and Economics 2018 Fall



Lecture 7: Superconducting qubits Basic architectures 2 Flux and charge qubits cQED



RF SQUID



Similarly to DC SQUID the phase difference equals the flux inside the loop

$$\frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi}(\phi_1 - \phi_2) = \int_1^2 \vec{A} d\vec{l} \qquad \delta = \phi_1 - \phi_2 = \frac{2\pi\Phi}{\Phi_0}$$

The flux inside the loop will be partially screened by and induced circulating current

L loop inductance

$$\Phi = \Phi_{ext} - LI_{circ}$$

Equation of motion, with the calculated current:



For half integer quantum, two minima: two persistent current states, circulating in different direction

RF SQUID



Φ

Flux qubit

δ

$$U(\delta) = \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi} I_c \left(1 - \cos(\delta)\right) + \frac{1}{2L} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2e} \delta - \Phi_{ext}\right)^2$$

Two wells \rightarrow two levels – for symmetric potential degenerate flux states The two states correspond to oppositely circulating persistent current If tunneling is possible between the two wells (Δ), states hybridize and split up and the macroscopic tunneling determines the separation





E

The expectation value of the current as a function of the flux Away from half flux quanta, pure flux states

$$V = -E_i \left[\cos(\varphi_1) + \cos(\varphi_2) + \alpha \cos(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 - 2\pi \Phi_{ext} / \Phi_0) \right]$$

Hard to fabricate, big loop is needed for inductance matching (large noise pickup possible big decoherence) \rightarrow 3 JJ-s qubit (effectively the same).

$$\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 + \varphi_3 + 2\pi\Phi/\Phi_0 = 2\pi n$$

the potential is parabolic on the white intersection α tunes the macroscopic quantum tunneling.





Readout – by DC SQUID measuring the opposite supercurrents in the qubit. Measurement with SQUID – measure the switching currents

During the sweeping of the magnetic field, microwave applied. transition causes supercurrent flowing opposite direction \rightarrow change in field measured by squid (change in switching current) - the resonance seen for different

frequencies at different flux points.

- peaks indicate switching between flux states

-the excitation spectra is nicely reproduced

 at zero detuning the avoided crossing of the two levels is extrapolated



Caspar H. van der Wal et al., Science 290, 773 (2000)



Other design: squid is directly coupled to achieve higher sensitivity T_1^{900ns} , T_2^{20-30} ns Dephasing: likely flux noise \rightarrow changes the qubit frequency randomly

Ideal opeation would be at $\Phi=\pi$, however this did not work for these devices. There $\delta E^{\sim} \Phi^2$, less sensitive to flux noise \rightarrow sweet spot





$$S_1$$
 I S_2

 $\delta = \phi_2 - \phi_1$

RCSJ model – energy terms



Homework: How to enter the quantum regime? Investigate scaling with the junction area. Suppose d=1nm, ε =10, I_c= 100 A/cm². What is the temperature range where the measurement should be done?



Energy terms Why JJ, not a simple inductor?



LC - oscillator

$$H = \frac{1}{2}CV^{2} + \frac{1}{2}LI^{2} \qquad V = L\frac{dI}{dt} \qquad \Phi = LI$$

$$I = C\frac{dV}{dt} \qquad Q = CV$$

Josephson junction

...

Josephson junctions is a *non-linear inductance:* the energy spectra is anharmonic. The qubit can be separated from excited states



$$I = I_c \sin(\delta) = I_c \sin(2\pi\Phi/\Phi_0)$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = L_J^{-1}V \qquad L_J^{-1} = \frac{2\pi I_c}{\Phi_0} \cos(2\pi \Phi/\Phi_0)$$

for small Φ $L_J = \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi I_c}$ $I \simeq \frac{\Phi}{L_J}$

$$H = \frac{1}{2}CV^2 + \frac{1}{2}L_JI^2 = \frac{Q^2}{2C} + \frac{1}{2L_J}\Phi^2$$

Why else superconductors?

-Single non-degenerate macroscopic ground state - no low energy excitations Quantization of EM circuits

$$H = E + K = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_{pl}^2 = \frac{Q^2}{2C} + \frac{1}{2L_J}\Phi^2$$

Energy of a harmonic oscillator

 $H = E + K = \frac{1}{2}C\left(\frac{\hbar}{2e}\right)^2 \left(\frac{d\delta}{dt}\right)^2 + E_{J0}(1 - \cos(\delta)) \qquad \text{JJ: nonlinear Harmonic oscillator}$

$$p = mv = C\left(\frac{\hbar}{2e}\right)^2 \frac{d\delta}{dt}$$

Knowing the mass, identify momentum

$$M = \left(\frac{\hbar}{2e}\right)^2 C$$

Quantization – using the momentum and position operators

$$\hat{p}_{\delta} = \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{d}{d\delta} \qquad \hat{x} = \hat{\delta} \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \left[\hat{\delta}, \hat{p}_{\delta}\right] = i\hbar$$

$$\hat{H} = -4E_c \frac{d^2}{d\delta^2} + E_{J0}(1 - \cos(\delta))$$

Quantized JJ Hamiltonian Phase representation (analogous to coordinate repr.)

Charge, Cooper pair number, flux basis

Homework:

$$\Delta N \Delta \delta \geq 1$$

Either phase (flux) or number of Cooper pairs (charge) is well defined \rightarrow Phase or charge regime



1) Phase regime $\hbar \omega_{pl} \ll E_{J0}$ and $E_C \ll E_{J0}$

phase is well localized in one of the minima, large charge fluctuations are possible (small E_c)

2) Charge regime $\hbar \omega_{pl} \gg E_{J0}$ and $E_C \gg E_{J0}$

e.g. a small island tunnel coupled, number of states well localized (Coulomb blockade), phase fluctuations are large



R. Gross, A. Marx, Applied Superconductivity, Lecture notes (Walter-Meissner Institute)

Charge qubits

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{Q}^2}{2C} + E_{J0} \left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{2\pi\hat{\Phi}}{\Phi_0}\right) \right) = E_c \hat{N}^2 + E_{J0} \left(1 - \cos\left(\hat{\delta}\right) \right)$$
$$\hat{H} = -4E_c \frac{d^2}{d\delta^2} + E_{J0} (1 - \cos(\delta))$$

$$\left|\delta\right\rangle = \sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iN\delta} \left|N\right\rangle \iff \left|N\right\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{-iN\delta} \left|\delta\right\rangle$$

Homework to show:

$$e^{i\hat{\delta}}\left|N\right\rangle = \left|N-1\right\rangle$$

$$\hat{H}_J \approx E_J \cos(\delta) = -\frac{E_J}{2} \sum_N |N\rangle \langle N+1| + |N+1\rangle \langle N|$$

Hamiltonian: nonlinear ocillator

Phase representation (~x repr.)

Number representation (~ k repr.). Transformation: Fourier tansform

Josephson term in number basis (neglecting constant offset)

$$\hat{H} = E_c \sum_N (N - N_g)^2 |N\rangle \langle N| - \frac{E_J}{2} \sum_N |N\rangle \langle N + 1| + |N + 1\rangle \langle N|$$



N_g: offset charge from gate electrode Enchance Ec: make a small SC insland

Charge qubit/Cooper pair box – small SC island connected with a single lead to an large SC, and to a gate electrode. The island has large charging energy. Using a SQUID loop E_J is flux tunable

$$\hat{H} = E_c \sum_N (N - N_g)^2 |N\rangle \langle N| - \frac{E_J}{2} \sum_N |N\rangle \langle N + 1| + |N + 1\rangle \langle N|$$

If $E_c >> E_J$: well defined charge states. The Josephson term connect neigbouring charge occupations (measured in 2e – Cooper pair tunneling!)

<u>Good ground state</u>: good for inicialization, charge states are far <u>Good qubit</u>: degeneracy points: 2 levels close by next level far away

$$N_g = \frac{1}{2} + \Delta_g$$

... To check. Up to contant terms:

$$H = E_C \Delta_g \sigma_z - \frac{E_J}{2} \sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} E_C \Delta_g & -E_J/2 \\ -E_J/2 & E_C \Delta_g \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow E = \pm \frac{E_J}{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{4E_C^2 \Delta_g^2}{E_J^2}}$$

 E_J

1

U

-1

Around the splitting the spectrum is quadratic:

$$\frac{4E_C^2 \Delta_g^2}{E_J^2} \ll 1 \qquad \Delta E = E_J + O(\Delta_g^2)$$









- First the qubit is prepared in state $|0\rangle$ by relaxation at N_g=0 (σ_z eigenstate)
- Fast DC pulse to the gate to $N_g=0.5 \rightarrow$ not adiabatic, it remains in |0>. This is not an eigenstate (eigenstates are of σ_x)
- It starts Rabi-oscillating between |+> and |->, and evolves during the pulse length (t). After time t, bring it back to N_g=0
- In Larmor language: $N_g=0$, B_z field, and a \downarrow is prepared. Than B rotated fast to B_{γ} . Larmor precession in the x-z plane. Then measurement again at B_z basis.
- Detection: If after the pulse, the qubit is in |1> decays to probe electrode (properly biased) through 2 quasi particle tunneling events
- single shot readout
- By adjusting t, the length of the pulse Rabi oscillation is seen
- Relaxation <5 ns, probably due to charge fluctuations



Other readout for charge qubit: with SET



Julia Love, PhD Thesis

SETs are capacitively coupled to the CPB. The change of the number of electrons on the CPB shifts the levels of the dot. The transport through the dot is measured. Or, SET coupled to RF circuit, and frequency shift of the resonator is measured.

Decoherence: limited by charge noise – 1/f noise. This gives fluctuation in gate voltage (not stable instruments, fluctuations in tunnel barriers, nearby trap charges), which changes the qubit energy splitting a lot \rightarrow leads to small T₂ (high frequency noise enters T1). The least sensitive to noise at degeneracy point. Here $\delta E^{\sim} n_g^2$, only quadratically sensitive to noise: **sweet spot**



Transmon regime



Anharmonicity –decreases linearly

$$\alpha_r = \frac{E_{12} - E_{10}}{E_{10}} = \sqrt{\frac{E_c}{8E_J}}$$

Charge dispersion – decreases exponentially (m: band index)

$$\epsilon_m = E_m(n_g = 1/2) - E_m(n_g = 0) \sim e^{-\sqrt{8E_J/E_c}}$$

E_J/E_c ~ 50 ideal

J. Koch et al., Phys. Rev. A., 76, 042319 (2007)

Idea: flatten the dispersion relation such, that the it becomes a **sweet spot everywhere**

Increase E_J/E_C ratio – technically done by make a large parallel capacitance (than E_J is not tuned) – increase C, decrease E_C How does this change the - Charge dispersion? – decreases, becomes

flat

- Anharmonicity? - decreases









Measurement of charge dispersion on transmon qubits: follow well the expectation (doubling: Quasi-particle poisoning)



J. A. Schreier et al., Phys. Rev. B 77, 180502(R) (2008)

Charge and phase wave functions



D. Vion, Saclay

Charge and phase wave functions



Transmon



Cooper pair box+ large shunt capacitor to decrease $\rm E_c$ Island volume ~1000 times bigger than conventional CPBs $\rm E_J$ flux tunable

Readout – coupling to microwave resonator – RC circuit





Source:



Fabry – Perot cavity for optics – using mirrors



Central conductor and ground plane – essentially a coax

Superconducting circuit to minimize losses (white – SC material, black etched away) Capacitors: voltage antinodes – zero current – good for electrical dipole coupling Current antinode (voltage node) - maximal current – good for inductive coupling



Fabry – Perot cavity for MW photons – capacitive

mirrors

R.J. Schoelkopf et al., Nature 451, 664 (2009) M. Göppl, : J. Appl. Phys. 104, 113904 (2008)



Schönenberger group

 $\lambda/4$ resonator